

# TheLawDictionary.org Scholarship Rubric

## Outstanding

5 - 4 points each

- The application has been carefully completed.
- All directions have been followed.
- The application is error-free.
- The post is clearly written without filler words.
- The post contains a logical flow and thought-structure.
- The post is substantive and informational.
- Post is well written and clearly demonstrates an understanding of TheLawDictionary.org
- Applicant demonstrated critical thinking and research skills

## Average

2 - 3 points each

- The application has been completed.
- Most directions have been followed.
- The application has minimal errors.
- The post needs minor editing for clarity.
- The post needs minor adjustments for flow.
- The post could have been more in-depth.
- Post is well written, but lacks understanding of TheLawDictionary.org.
- Applicant demonstrated critical thinking or research skills.

## Poor

1 - 0 points each

- The application has not been completed.
- Missed some or all of the directions.
- The application has substantive errors.
- The post needs clarity.
- The post needs adjustments for flow.
- The post is lacking in depth.
- Post could have been written better and lacks understanding of TheLawDictionary.org.
- Applicant didn't demonstrate critical thinking or research skills.

\_\_\_\_/40 points